

PURE WATER A GREAT NEED

Mr. Geo. H. Coryell Talks About
Washington's Supply.

HE ADVOCATES FILTRATION

The Potomac Defiled From Various
Sources—Biologically Its Water
Is Classified as "Doubtful"—Sand
Filter Bed and a New Reservoir
Would Insure Purity and Plenty.

The question of Washington's water supply is again uppermost in the minds of a number of prominent citizens and scientists and a move for proper filtration arrangements is being talked of. Mr. George H. Coryell, a civil engineer of much experience, was seen recently by a Times reporter and interviewed upon the subject. Mr. Coryell was employed for several years on the Washington aqueduct tunnel, and since then has devoted much time to the consideration of how our present supply could be improved. In the course of his remarks he said:

The most important question to be considered as to the health of cities and towns and their sanitary condition should be the securing of an ample supply of healthful drinking water and a thorough sewerage system. The water supply should not only be adequate to the wants of the cities and towns, but, as a safeguard to health, it should be made as nearly pure and healthful as possible by filtration. There is a class of persons who claim that it is not necessary to filter water before using from the reservoir for drinking purposes. No engineer of experience or competent sanitary officer can assert without fear of contradiction that a stream of water will purify itself within any given distance.

For example, we will take the Potomac River. Its head waters are forty miles or more from Cumberland, Md., and it flows more than five hundred miles into Chesapeake Bay, draining the eastern slope of the Appalachian Mountains. On the upper Potomac there are a number of small towns and villages which discharge their storm and waste water, together with their sewer drainage, into the river. In addition to this accumulation of unhealthful matter which the river gathers in its course to the bay, there is a canal that runs along its banks from Cumberland to Georgetown, a distance of nearly two hundred miles, which contributes its vast amount of filth to the river through its waste waters, probably more than from any other source. This canal is a collector of impurities from its source to its mouth, and daily pours its deadly germs of disease into the water supply of Washington.

Notwithstanding this condition of affairs, no remedy has as yet been successfully adapted to entirely remove the people of this city. I have never yet seen or heard of an intelligent person being given why this condition should not be remedied and the city supplied with pure, healthful water. In May, 1888, Capt. T. W. Reynolds, one of the ablest engineers of the Army, under a special authority, made a report upon the water supply of the city of Washington under a resolution of the United States Senate. After extensive inquiry and much study upon the subject, Capt. Reynolds states that he is led to the conclusion that the water supply of Washington will be greatly improved by filtration and aeration, and that it is advisable and practical to do it. During the spring and summer of 1888 the condition of the impure Potomac water was widely discussed through the press of this and other cities. The many analyses made by the most learned chemists of the country established the fact that the water of the Potomac, as received into the reservoirs and distributed to consumers, was not satisfactory as a healthful drinking water. This subject was referred to a committee of the Medical Society of Washington, which made a thorough investigation, and in its report stated:

"Many of the complaints made against the water supply might be stopped by a proper filtration and aeration of the water on a complete scale by the authorities."

The free discussion of this matter at that time through the press, by scientists and the consumers of water in Washington, showed a united sentiment in favor of a perfect pure water supply. There are no sanitary improvements within the inventive genius of man that should rank higher in the estimation of the people of cities than a pure water supply.

The National Board of Health in 1884-85 analyzed a great number of waters which supplies other cities and towns. The chemical analysis of the Potomac water as given by this board shows that it contains a considerable amount of organic matter, and is classed as "medium purity." Biologically, it is classed as "doubtful." It is an established fact that diseases are communicated by live organisms in water, which makes the process of filtration of the greatest importance. Doctors Koch, of Berlin, Frankland and Bishop, of England, have made many experiments upon the subject of germs in water, and have determined how these microbe organisms can be eliminated in the following ways:

First—By filtration through different mediums.

Second—By agitation with solid particles.

Third—By chemical precipitation.

Fourth—By natural agencies.

The Journal of the Society of Chemical Industry, December, 1885, contains a report from Dr. Frankland, in regard to the filtration of water. He says:

"It is possible by proper filtration to entirely deprive water of its germ life. After complete deprivation of its germ life, if water is exposed to the ordinary influences of air and contact with biologically unclean material, used for its storage and conveyance, its germ life is being rapidly reintroduced and multiplied. Most filtering materials lose a certain portion of their efficiency with continued use. In some cases if the water is filtered through materials which have been in use for months the germ life has been greatly increased by the operation. This was the case with animal charcoal. Some materials which exert but an insignificant chemical action are completely successful in purifying water from a biological point of view. This is the case with powdered coke and charcoal."

Prof. Smith, of the United States Department of Agriculture, made some interesting experiments by the gelatine plate culture of Potomac water, and found it impregnated with microbe organisms more than it should be. The same result was obtained from the filtered waters of London and Berlin.

Dr. W. W. Johnson, president of the Medical Society of the District of Columbia, wrote to Capt. Reynolds under date of March 15, 1886, in which he used the following language:

"There can be no question as to the desirability of filtering the water supply of this city. It is a reproach to the seat of Government, when the question of health is considered. There is every

reason for urging that the Potomac water should be filtered. It is well known that as malaria and dysentery disappear from cities by improved drainage and drying of the soil typhoid fever takes its place, and in the propagation of typhoid fever no agent is so active as a polluted water supply.

Washington typhoid fever is becoming more and more endemic fever, to the exclusion of malarial fever, and if the effort should be made to render the water supply pure and wholesome it will, I believe, undoubtedly diminish the extent and severity of typhoid fever. Diarrheal diseases, which so extensively prevail here during the summer, are largely due to infected water."

Dr. T. S. Verdi, who was president of the board of health of the District of Columbia in 1876, and a resident of this city, in 1876, upon inspection of the water works and thoroughly examined the Potomac water, finding it very impure. In his report to Congress he recommended "filtration as the means to remove the evil and to render the Potomac water, used in the city, healthful and salubrious."

Dr. Charles Smart, surgeon U. S. A., one of the most eminent physicians of the country, and for many years member of the national board of health, says in a letter to Capt. Reynolds, dated March 17, 1889: "The water supply of a city like Washington should certainly be filtered before distribution. In referring to the advantages of filtration I would direct attention especially to the cause of typhoid and remittent fevers. Filtration removes from surface water the essence of the remittent fevers that may be in it. Therefore, to make Washington a healthful city and to make it free from remittent fevers it is as useful to filter its water supply as it is to reclaim the flats and destroy their deleterious exhalations, for which Congress has already provided."

"Filtration of water for cities, in a limited way, has been in use in this country for many years. In Europe, however, many of the largest cities have filtration works, at a great cost, and are prized as being essential to the health of water consumers and to add greatly to sanitary conditions. These methods are 'natural filtration' and 'artificial filter beds.'"

Natural filtration is the simplest of all water purifications. It is accomplished by taking a natural bed of sand and using it as a filter, and it is claimed to be the most economical of any system yet adopted by engineering experts. It is also claimed that when water has passed through sand and beds of gravel, or even through a layer of pebbles, it is cleared of all impurities and is healthful for drinking purposes.

If Washington had a complete sand-filter bed system for its water supply, along with the aqueduct tunnel and new reservoir, it would then have an abundant supply of healthful water, equal to its future growth and the demands for many years to come.

The Real Navajo Blanket.
The Navajo Indian of New Mexico and Arizona cannot vie with the modern Turk in rugs, but what it comes to blankets he is a master. He has a secret for it. It is nearly a generation since a Navajo blanket of strictly the first class has been created. Here is a last art—not because the Navajos no longer know how, but because they will no longer take the time and trouble to make them. The blankets are still thick, coarse, fuzzy things, which are the best camping blankets to be had anywhere, and most comfortable robes. But of the superb old ponchos and sarapes for which these fine fabrics were made, there is no more to be seen. The blankets are still made by hand, and are sold at \$5 a point, unaltered by them, and their thread runs in an infinitely better way than any one has been known to make. It is a loss to the world, but the collector who begins to collect Navajo blankets will find it impossible that even the richest rival shall ever be able to match his treasures.

There are still Navajos, 20,000 of them, and there is still Navajo, and as there are people who would give \$500 for an absolutely first-class Navajo blanket, you might fancy that the three things would part. But that is to forget the Navajo. He is a barbarian, to whom enough is an elegant sufficiency. By weaving the cheap and wretched blankets of today—wretched, that is, as works of art—he can get all the money he desires. Why, then, do twelve months over a blanket for \$500 (which is more than he can imagine, anyhow) when a week's work will bring \$5?

The art of the Navajo blanket is as old as Pithon and reached almost at legend. You can tell a genuine just as far as you can see it. It is a curious fact known to the student that, when left to himself, the Indian never blunders in color. It is only when too long rubbed with our shoddy civilization and its mechanical aids, and the cheapness of our millinery dyes that he perpetrates atrocities. His eye for color is elemental and absolutely correct. Red is red, and no madder, mauve or lake, but true red. Blue is good, because it stands for the sky, and green because it is the grass, yellow for the sun, and white for the clouds and snow—and these are the only colors found in a strictly perfect Navajo blanket. To the Indian color is a part of religion, and purples and pinks, and other colors he never can use until he is fully corrupted. The blanket of today is the most graphic witness to the falling off of the aborigine that ever came into court. It is full of hues that any decent Indian knows to be literally infamous. A generation ago a Navajo would have been proud by his people if simply found in possession of one of these witch colors. But the true old blanket was as perfect in its color scheme as in its weaving, and I have blankets which have for seventy-five years done duty on an adobe floor.

Of course, at all times, these goods were comparatively few. Not every Navajo weaver was a master, and not so many could afford a blanket whose thread cost \$6 a pound as could "stand" the natural wool at 30 cents. But what has done the most to make the oldtime blanket scarce is the fact that it was almost invariably buried with its owner. In the Christian graveyards of the Pueblos, in the barbaric, lonely last resting places of Navajo captains, the vast majority of the perfect blankets have gone to the worms. I myself have seen not more than three collections in the world could match today swathed about a corpse and covered with six feet of earth, and you can fancy if they make a collector gnash his teeth—N. Y. Tribune.

How They Prepare Their Sermons.

Henry Ward Beecher once said that he was constantly preparing his sermons on the street cars, walking along the streets, and wherever he happened to be. He rarely put pen to paper until a few hours before the time for the delivery of the sermon. He thought Philip Brooks spoke almost entirely without manuscript or even notes. He selected the topic and pitched upon the text, then gave himself up to careful mental study, sometimes sitting for hours in an easy chair with closed eyes while this engaged. Occasionally he jotted down a few lines of the sermon's subdivisions on paper, but not often.

Dr. Talmage dictates his sermons to a stenographer and then memorizes them. Archbishop Corrigan constructs his sermon mentally first, then dictates to a stenographer and recites it with great effect, uttering all superfluous words. He has it recited, sometimes two or three times, after which, by reading it over once or twice, he learns it by heart, so that he seems to deliver it extemporaneously.

Two Odes Without a Single Thought.

VICTORIA. June 20, 1897. I. June 20, 1897.

The lark went up, the mower whet his scythe,
On golden meads kind rumbling lay,
And all the world felt young again and blithe,
Just as today.

The partridge shook her covey from her wings,
And hopped along the grass; on leaf and lawn,
Scattered the dew, and every throat that sings
Chanted the dawn.

The doe was followed by her new dropped fawn,
And, folding all her feathers on her breast,
The swan within the reed-beds deep withdrawn
Dreamed on her nest.

In the green wheat the poppy burst a flame,
Wildrose and woodbine galloped the glade,
And, with a maiden summer, forth came
A Summer Maid.

Her face was as the face of mid June when
Blossoms the meadow sweet, the birdweed,
Pale as a lily first she blushed, and then
Blushed like a rose.

They placed a crown upon her fair young brow,
They put a sceptre in her girlish hand,
Saying: "Behold! You are sovereign, lady now,
Of this great land."

Silent she gazed, as one who doth not know
The meaning of a message. When she broke
The hush of awe around her, 'twas as though
Her soul that spoke.

"With this dread summons, since 'tis
Heaven's decree,
I would not part, even if I could;
But, being a woman only, I can be
Not great, but good."

"I cannot do the breastplate and the helm,
To my weak waist the sword I cannot
Nor in the discords that distract a realm
Be seen or heard."

"But in my people's wisdom will I share,
And in their valor play a helpful part,
Leading them still, in all they do or dare,
My woman's heart."

"And haply it may be, by God's grace,
And unarm'd Love's invulnerable might,
I may, though woman, lead a manly race
To higher heights."

"If wise will curb disorderly desire,
The present lord the present Past in awe,
Religion hallowing with its sacred fire,
Freedom and Law."

"Never be broken long as I shall reign,
The solemn covenant 'twixt them and me,
To keep this kingdom, moated by the sea,
Loyal yet free."

Thus with grave utterance and majestic mien,
She with her eighteen summers filled the throne
Where Alfred sat; a girl, withal a Queen,
But, alone!

But Love that hath the power to force apart
The bolts, and balk the sentinels of Kings,
Came o'er the sea, and in her April heart
Folded his wings.

Therefore more dear than diadem she owned
A princely helpmate, sharer in her trust,
If not her scepter—since, withal, enthroned
By Time, the just.

Somer of wrong and lover of the right,
Compounded all of nobleness he seemed,
And was, indeed, the perfect, gentle knight
The poet dreamed.

So when the storm of wrath arose that lured
Scared robbers from their realms, her throne,
In liberty and trust, calm shelter gave
To Kings dismayed.

And stronger grew the bond of love and grace
Between her and her people, while that she
Reigned the glad mother of a royal race,
Rulers to be.

But Death that deepens love in darkening life
Turned to a pall the purple of her throne,
Then, more than once the maid, the widowed wife
Reigned all alone.

"Leave me a while to linger with the dead,"
Weeping, she said. "But doubt not that I
Am nurtured to my people, and have wed
Their deathless will."

"Their thoughts shall be my thoughts,
Their aim my aim,
Their free-living joy my right divine,
Mine will I make their triumphs, mine their time."

Of course, at all times, these goods were comparatively few. Not every Navajo weaver was a master, and not so many could afford a blanket whose thread cost \$6 a pound as could "stand" the natural wool at 30 cents. But what has done the most to make the oldtime blanket scarce is the fact that it was almost invariably buried with its owner. In the Christian graveyards of the Pueblos, in the barbaric, lonely last resting places of Navajo captains, the vast majority of the perfect blankets have gone to the worms. I myself have seen not more than three collections in the world could match today swathed about a corpse and covered with six feet of earth, and you can fancy if they make a collector gnash his teeth—N. Y. Tribune.

How They Prepare Their Sermons.
Henry Ward Beecher once said that he was constantly preparing his sermons on the street cars, walking along the streets, and wherever he happened to be. He rarely put pen to paper until a few hours before the time for the delivery of the sermon. He thought Philip Brooks spoke almost entirely without manuscript or even notes. He selected the topic and pitched upon the text, then gave himself up to careful mental study, sometimes sitting for hours in an easy chair with closed eyes while this engaged. Occasionally he jotted down a few lines of the sermon's subdivisions on paper, but not often.

Dr. Talmage dictates his sermons to a stenographer and then memorizes them. Archbishop Corrigan constructs his sermon mentally first, then dictates to a stenographer and recites it with great effect, uttering all superfluous words. He has it recited, sometimes two or three times, after which, by reading it over once or twice, he learns it by heart, so that he seems to deliver it extemporaneously.

Dr. Talmage dictates his sermons to a stenographer and then memorizes them. Archbishop Corrigan constructs his sermon mentally first, then dictates to a stenographer and recites it with great effect, uttering all superfluous words. He has it recited, sometimes two or three times, after which, by reading it over once or twice, he learns it by heart, so that he seems to deliver it extemporaneously.

Dr. Talmage dictates his sermons to a stenographer and then memorizes them. Archbishop Corrigan constructs his sermon mentally first, then dictates to a stenographer and recites it with great effect, uttering all superfluous words. He has it recited, sometimes two or three times, after which, by reading it over once or twice, he learns it by heart, so that he seems to deliver it extemporaneously.

CASTORIA. I. June 20, 1897.

The lark went up, and he came down again;
The mower whetted his scythe and moved,
The people went to their morning meetings,
They felt so good.

The hen looked meditative and sedate
And scratched for angle-worms; the red-
faced fruit
Hung on its vines; and then was heard
A joyous note.

The whistle of the noon excursion train
Punctured their, and flushed the farmer's
cheek,
Expectant of the revenue that comes
But once a week.

In the green woods was heard the popping cork,
And the peanut-shells the feasters
hurl,
Till to the picnic came—a summer peach—
The Summer Girl.

Light as a fay, her '97 wheel;
In her brief skirt and gaiters neat she sat;
Her rosy wrist-waist matched her cheeks; she
wore
A sailor hat.

They pinned a badge upon that pink shirt-
waist.
They said: "What man accomplished
woman can,
For you have ridden from the city out
Just like a man."

Silent she gazed, as if all out of breath,
Or pondering what pearls of truth to let
Fall from her dainty lips; then with a
smile
She said: "You bet!"

"With bumps and angles, such as scorch-
ing use,
I would a monkey even if I could,
But I chose to make a record war
I think I would."

"I do not enter races, nor desire
To do trick riding, nor is my intent
Because I ride the wheel, to don the garb
Of circus band."

"But I can pedal up the longest hill,
And down the hill can coast with joyous
heart,
And more than all, successfully can take
My wheel apart."

"And sometimes when the Fates propitious
are,
Through green suburban lanes I gayly
fill
For two or two or three upon
Tandem to sit."

"If people use their judgment when they
ride,
And do not headless take, nor try to
pedal
Upon the handlebars a bike is safe
As any church."

"And it doesn't," grinned the sharp.
"Texas, with 3,400 less population, has 73
more publications than the old Bay State,
with its century of two start. And there's
Indiana, where the Hoosiers come from
Indiana, with nearly 50,000 people less
than Massachusetts, has 202 more publica-
tions, and beats her in the difference
between 142 and 79. Now, how are
you going to explain that?"

"Now, there's Idaho," continued the sharp,
"a State where the wild and the woolly
cheer each other's necks and shoot the at-
mosphere full of noise. Idaho has 84,385
people and 67 publications. Of the Southern
States Georgia leads with 334 publica-
tions, 28 of them daily. Kentucky, with
21,000 more people, has but 253 publica-
tions, 24 of them daily. She has 218 week-
lies in 237 in Georgia. Iowa makes a good
showing with 1,911,596 people and 1,071
publications, 67 daily and 877 weekly,
while Kansas, with 1,427,096 people, has
but 689 in all, with 45 daily and 572
weekly. California is fine, too, with 1,208,
120 people and 676 publications, 108 daily
and 460 weekly. This is largely due to
her remoteness."

"That's where the circulation editors
groan," he said, "asked the editor, with a
smile.
"But," he said, "I will not doubt that
the heart of Rhode Island, which for so
many years has sat under the intellec-
tual drippings of Boston, to be compared
with Oklahoma, where even at this mo-
ment nothing may be dropping save
gold; but I shall make the comparison,
and the figures will speak for them-
selves. Rhode Island has 101 publications
in all, 11 daily and 79 weekly, while
Rhode Island, with over five times the
population (345,506), has but 67 pub-
lications, 17 daily and 36 weekly. Now
let the Hon. Mr. Chas. H. Davis, of
Rhode Island, speak for himself, and
again did the sharp smile with a g.
"And Oregon," he went on, "with more
than 50,000 less population than Rhode
Island, has 190 publications, 21 of them
being daily. Colorado, with only 412,198
people, does well, having 259 publica-
tions, of them daily and 221 weekly.
Indian Territory, with 125,000 popula-
tion, has 61, 5 daily and 54 weekly.
The People's State, with 3,572,316
people, most of them after office, has
1,173 publications, 104 being daily and
760 weekly. But the State—Oregon—
it is Nebraska—shows up about as
well as Ohio, for with less than a third
the population (1,058,910) it has about
half the number of publications (578),
29 of them daily and 468 weekly."

"Making another comparison," the sharp
went on, "there is Vermont, with 332,422
people and 85 publications, 5 daily and 65
weekly, while New Hampshire, right along-
side, with 375,590 people, only about 44,
600 more, has 104 publications, 14 daily
and 74 weekly. Evidently that excess of
population reads only home papers."

"Evidently," said the editor.
"From a generalization on these figures,"
said the sharp in conclusion, "it will be
seen that the popular styles of paper are
the daily weekly and monthly, and that the
monthly is more prevalent in the more set-
tled, not to say more cultured, part of the
country, though it would appear that Illi-
nois, Chicago's home, has 251 monthlies,
to 171 in Massachusetts, and 243 in Penn-
sylvania. Every State in the Union, and
Vermont except Alaska, has dailies, with
Idaho at the bottom of the list with three.
All have weeklies, with Alaska at the bot-
tom with three, and all have monthlies ex-
cept Wyoming, with Alaska and Arizona
at the bottom with one each. Good-by,"
said the sharp unexpectedly, and went
away, the editor sighing the meanwhile,
and wondering why in the name of good-
ness Noah ever took a pair of statisti-
cians on board the ark when he sailed that
time—New York Sun.

SOME NEWSPAPER NEWS.

And Some Remarks on the Relation of Newspapers to Population.

"You may not be aware of it," senten-
tiously remarked the newspaper sharp to
the editor, "but there are in the United
States a grand total of 19,876 publications,
which go through the mails at publishers'
rates, divided up into 2,046 dailies, 14,339
weeklies, 358 semi-weeklies, 43 tri-weeklies,
2,550 monthlies, 391 semi-monthlies, 80
bi-monthlies, 4 tri-monthlies, 150 quar-
terlies and 159 semi-quarterlies."

"Of course, New York leads," said the
editor, with some local pride, being a New
York man.
"In point of number of publications, yes;
but in total circulation, no. New York has
nearly as many as 5,999,853 people as does
Nevada's 27 among her 45,761 people. In
other words, my dear sir," and the sharp
grinned with ghouliness, "New York will
have to multiply her list about three times
to go up to Nevada's standard. The Illi-
nois standard, including Chicago, with
1,587 publications to 3,236,351 people, is
higher than that of New York, and so are
several others."

The editor winced at the information.
"How about dailies?" asked the editor.
"New York has 175, which is 20 less than
Nevada's 195, and 12 more than Illinois and
Ohio, each of which has 164, and 34 more
than Indiana, whose population is 3,507,
449 less than New York's. However, New
York comes to the front in the number of
dailies, is 10 ahead of Minnesota's list. The
District of Columbia, with 239,802 popula-
tion, has 4 dailies, while Wyoming, with
60,765 people, has an equal number. The
lowest, however, with 79 publications, is
Texas, which has but 34. These two are
the least in the list of dailies, except Alaska,
which hasn't any, and but four of all kinds, three being weekly and
one a monthly. Comparing a Northern
and a Southern State, we find that Minn-
nesota, with 1,591,226 people, has 163 pub-
lications, while Mississippi, with 1,299,
630, has 191 papers of all kinds, only ten
of them being dailies. This is the lowest
average of dailies to population in the
Union."

"The colored people in that State ought
to read the newspapers," suggested the
editor.
"They ought to do a good many things,"
responded the sharp, "but that is another
story. Most folks wouldn't believe it,
but Maine and Louisiana have the same
number of publications, 170, and Louisi-
ana leads with 17 dailies to 16 in the
State of Maine. In population Louisiana
has 1,118,287, to 961,096 in Maine, which
improves the face of the returns for Maine.
Michigan and Massachusetts make a fair
comparison of States East and Middle
West, and Michigan, with 2,093,889 people,
has 762 publications, to Massachusetts' 618
for 2,235,943. In dailies Massachusetts
leads with 79, leads by 14."

"I wouldn't have thought Michigan could
make so good a showing," observed the
editor.
"That's because you don't know Michi-
gan," remarked the sharp. "Now, how do
you think Texas would compare with Mas-
sachusetts?"

"Oh, not at all," exclaimed the editor,
in horrified tones.
"And it doesn't," grinned the sharp.
"Texas, with 3,400 less population, has 73
more publications than the old Bay State,
with its century of two start. And there's
Indiana, where the Hoosiers come from
Indiana, with nearly 50,000 people less
than Massachusetts, has 202 more publica-
tions, and beats her in the difference
between 142 and 79. Now, how are
you going to explain that?"

"Now, there's Idaho," continued the sharp,
"a State where the wild and the woolly
cheer each other's necks and shoot the at-
mosphere full of noise. Idaho has 84,385
people and 67 publications. Of the Southern
States Georgia leads with 334 publica-
tions, 28 of them daily. Kentucky, with
21,000 more people, has but 253 publica-
tions, 24 of them daily. She has 218 week-
lies in 237 in Georgia. Iowa makes a good
showing with 1,911,596 people and 1,071
publications, 67 daily and 877 weekly,
while Kansas, with 1,427,096 people, has
but 689 in all, with 45 daily and 572
weekly. California is fine, too, with 1,208,
120 people and 676 publications, 108 daily
and 460 weekly. This is largely due to
her remoteness."

"That's where the circulation editors
groan," he said, "asked the editor, with a
smile.
"But," he said, "I will not doubt that
the heart of Rhode Island, which for so
many years has sat under the intellec-
tual drippings of Boston, to be compared
with Oklahoma, where even at this mo-
ment nothing may be dropping save
gold; but I shall make the comparison,
and the figures will speak for them-
selves. Rhode Island has 101 publications
in all, 11 daily and 79 weekly, while
Rhode Island, with over five times the
population (345,506), has but 67 pub-
lications, 17 daily and 36 weekly. Now
let the Hon. Mr. Chas. H. Davis, of
Rhode Island, speak for himself, and
again did the sharp smile with a g.
"And Oregon," he went on, "with more
than 50,000 less population than Rhode
Island, has 190 publications, 21 of them
being daily. Colorado, with only 412,198
people, does well, having 259 publica-
tions, of them daily and 221 weekly.
Indian Territory, with 125,000 popula-
tion, has 61, 5 daily and 54 weekly.
The People's State, with 3,572,316
people, most of them after office, has
1,173 publications, 104 being daily and
760 weekly. But the State—Oregon—
it is Nebraska—shows up about as
well as Ohio, for with less than a third
the population (1,058,910) it has about
half the number of publications (578),
29 of them daily and 468 weekly."

"Making another comparison," the sharp
went on, "there is Vermont, with 332,422
people and 85 publications, 5 daily and 65
weekly, while New Hampshire, right along-
side, with 375,590 people, only about 44,
600 more, has 104 publications, 14 daily
and 74 weekly. Evidently that excess of
population reads only home papers."

"Evidently," said the editor.
"From a generalization on these figures,"
said the sharp in conclusion, "it will be
seen that the popular styles of paper are
the daily weekly and monthly, and that the
monthly is more prevalent in the more set-
tled, not to say more cultured, part of the
country, though it would appear that Illi-
nois, Chicago's home, has 251 monthlies,
to 171 in Massachusetts, and 243 in Penn-
sylvania. Every State in the Union, and
Vermont except Alaska, has dailies, with
Idaho at the bottom of the list with three.
All have weeklies, with Alaska at the bot-
tom with three, and all have monthlies ex-
cept Wyoming, with Alaska and Arizona
at the bottom with one each. Good-by,"
said the sharp unexpectedly, and went
away, the editor sighing the meanwhile,
and wondering why in the name of good-
ness Noah ever took a pair of statisti-
cians on board the ark when he sailed that
time—New York Sun.

"You may not be aware of it," senten-
tiously remarked the newspaper sharp to
the editor, "but there are in the United
States a grand total of 19,876 publications,
which go through the mails at publishers'
rates, divided up into 2,046 dailies, 14,339
weeklies, 358 semi-weeklies, 43 tri-weeklies,
2,550 monthlies, 391 semi-monthlies, 80
bi-monthlies, 4 tri-monthlies, 150 quar-
terlies and 159 semi-quarterlies."

"Of course, New York leads," said the
editor, with some local pride, being a New
York man.
"In point of number of publications, yes;
but in total circulation, no. New York has
nearly as many as 5,999,853 people as does
Nev